

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,022	KANG ET AL.
	Examiner Ganapathy Krishnan	Art Unit 1623

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan. (3) _____.

(2) Ms. Darlene Stockley. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 2 and 3.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see continuation sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the Attorney of record that:

1. In instant claim 2, insert the term "aliphatic" after the term lower at line 2 and delete "substituted benzyl alcohol" since substitutions have not been defined in the claim or the specification.
2. In instant claim 3, delete the terms "such as" and use Markush language and replace the term "trifluoromethyl" by the term "trifluoromethansulfonyl".

The suggested amendments might put the pending claims in a condition for allowance. The attorney faxed the claims with the suggested amendments.